



Research Letters

Anthropogenic factors do not affect male or female jaguar habitat use in an Amazonian Sustainable Reserve



Anelise Montanarin^{a,b,*}, Emiliano Esterci Ramalho^b, Daniel Gomes da Rocha^{b,c}, Diogo Maia Gräbin^{b,d}, Guilherme Costa Alvarenga^{b,e}, Pedro Aurélio Costa Lima Pequeno^f, Fabricio Beggiato Baccaro^{a,g}, William Ernest Magnusson^a

^a Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

^b Instituto de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá, Grupo de Pesquisa em Ecologia e Conservação de Felinos na Amazônia, Tefé, Amazonas, Brazil

^c Department of Biology, Southern Nazarene University, Bethany, USA

^d Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação da Biodiversidade, Laboratório de Ecologia Aplicada à Conservação, Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil

^e Wildlife Conservation Research Unit (WildCRU), Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Recanati-Kaplan Centre, Tubney House, Abingdon Road, UK


^f Programa de Pós-Graduação em Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal de Roraima, Boa Vista, Brazil

^g Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

HIGHLIGHTS

- We evaluated jaguar habitat use accounting for individual non-independence.
- We did not find habitat-use differences between jaguar sexes in Amazonian varzea.
- Anthropogenic influence apparently had little effect on overall jaguar habitat use.
- Our results differ from previous studies that did not account for individual differences.
- Local human settlements within the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve appear to have low impact on jaguars.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 September 2022

Accepted 14 June 2023

Available online 26 July 2023

Keywords:

Panthera onca

Sex

Habitat use

Human settlement

Varzea forest

Sustainable Development Reserve

ABSTRACT

Conservation actions should account for species natural history and behavior related to differences between sexes. However, most studies have not taken into consideration non-independence of observations from the same individual. We used data from camera-trap surveys undertaken over six consecutive years to investigate habitat use by jaguar (*Panthera onca*) in varzea seasonally flooded forest. We used hierarchical modeling to assess sex differences in occurrence probability related to environmental factors, while accounting for individual and spatial autocorrelation. Specifically, we tested whether male and female jaguars responded differently to habitat type and anthropogenic influence. Our results do not support previous conclusions related to differences between sexes and indicate that, in the studied area, jaguars are habitat generalists, exploring all environments with similar probability during the low-water season. Human settlements also apparently have little effect on habitat use by jaguars in this area. The lack of avoidance of settlements might be due to the low levels of anthropogenic pressure in the area,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ane.montanarin@gmail.com (A. Montanarin).

which adds support to the effectiveness of sustainable-development reserves. The difference between our results and previous studies may be due to the fact that we took into account individual differences, or may be related to the unique environmental characteristics of the *varzea* of Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve in Central Amazonia.

Introduction

Habitat use has an important role in determining the distribution of organisms (Jonzén, 2008) and allows comprehension of factors affecting individual choice (Morrison et al., 2006). Vegetation structure is frequently assumed to be the main factor determining where and how species use resources (Block and Brennan, 1993). However, habitat implies more than vegetation, it is the sum of the specific resources that are needed by organisms and the way an animal uses physical and biological components in a place (Hall et al., 1997). Many species have been found to use habitat according to prey availability and to other environmental and anthropogenic factors (Rich et al., 2017).

Habitat requirements are not fixed. Carnivores may adjust behavioral and ecological strategies to different environmental conditions (Svoboda et al., 2019) and human-modified landscapes (Evans et al., 2019). Therefore, understanding the flexibility in carnivore habitat use is critical to better plan conservation actions and policies (Jędrzejewski et al., 2017).

Most carnivore habitat-use studies do not consider sex differences and tend to draw conclusions based on individuals of the most frequently observed sex, usually males (Gantchoff et al., 2019). Female carnivores often move less and have smaller home ranges than males (Gantchoff et al., 2019), and consequently tend to have a lower probability of detection that leads to fewer sightings (Sollmann et al., 2011).

Males and females may also show differences in the use of vegetation associations (Little et al., 2018). In general, males tend to be more tolerant to human disturbance, (Gantchoff et al., 2019), although some studies found that females are more likely to be found near human settlements (Bar-Ziv et al., 2022) and this behavior can lead to higher mortality rates due to conflict with people (Ramalho, 2012). However, the preponderance of conclusions related to sexual differences in habitat use of carnivores are based on studies with few individuals, low sampling effort and analyses that did not consider non-independence of observations of the same individual.

The jaguar (*Panthera onca*) is the largest felid in the Neotropics and its distribution includes many biomes, different types of vegetation associations and levels of human pressure (Morato et al., 2016). Jaguars are thought to show great plasticity and have a broad geographical distribution (De La Torre et al., 2018). Although jaguars display different patterns of resource selection across their geographic range, they often exhibit preference for high forest cover and areas close to watercourses (Sunquist and Sunquist, 2014). Moreover, human presence is likely to have a strong influence on resource-selection patterns and space use by jaguars (Morato et al., 2016). At local scales, there is variation in habitat use by jaguars, and individuals seem to select landscape features according to their availability (Morato et al., 2018). However, several studies concluded that individuals of different sexes respond differently to the environment; females tend to use dense cover forest and avoid human presence (Conde et al., 2010).

The Amazon forest holds the largest population of jaguars (De La Torre et al., 2018) and it is a crucial area for the long-term conservation of the species (Jędrzejewski et al., 2018), but little is known about the ecology and behavior of the jaguar in Amazonian flooded forests. The seasonally flooded forests inundated by sediment-laden rivers, known as *varzeas*, occupy about 3% of the Amazon basin (Ayres, 1993) and due to the seasonal flood pulse,

local biological processes are highly dynamic (Junk et al., 1989). The Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve (MSDR) is a conservation unit that encompasses an area of *varzea* forest with traditional human occupants whose way of life is based on sustainable natural-resource exploitation (Queiroz, 2005). Jaguars in MSDR are known to display vertical migration in floodplain forests during the wet season, and adopt an arboreal and semiaquatic lifestyle during the entire flooded period; a unique behavior for a large terrestrial top predator (Ramalho et al., 2021). MSDR has one of the highest jaguar densities reported (Ramalho, 2012), a low human population density and highly productive environment (Junk, 1997), so jaguar behavior is likely to be different there even in the dry season.

Previous studies in MSDR, conducted during the low-water season, evaluated differential use of *varzea* habitats by jaguars and found a preference for aquatic/terrestrial transition zones (e.g., Alvarenga et al., 2018). However, none of earlier studies considered differences between individuals, sexes or tested the effect of human presence. In this study, we investigated habitat use by jaguar based on camera-trap surveys undertaken over six consecutive years in MSDR. We investigated jaguar habitat use in relation to vegetation structure, water availability and distance to human settlements. The first months of parental care of jaguars coincide with the dry season, probably increasing the probability of cub survival until the next flood season (Ramalho et al., 2021). To maximize the time their cubs have access to a dry landscape, we predicted that females would show a preference for high *varzea*, the highest area and the last to flood, and would stay at greater distances from water than males. Also, assuming that males are more tolerant of human presence, we hypothesized that males would be indifferent to human presence, whereas the probability of occurrence of females would be greater in areas further from human settlements.

Materials and methods

Study area

We conducted the study in Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve (MSDR), located in Central Amazonia, Amazonas State, Brazil (1°49' to 3°09' S, 64°45' to 67°23' W). MSDR is delimited by the Amazon and Japurá Rivers (Fig. 1). The reserve encompasses 1,124,000 ha and represents the largest Brazilian protected area dedicated to *varzea* conservation (Queiroz, 2005). MSDR is flooded annually by nutrient-rich sediment-laden rivers for up to 175 days with an average annual vertical water fluctuation of >10 m (Ferreira-Ferreira et al., 2015).

The high-water season usually starts in May and runs until mid-July, usually with a peak in June, and water recedes from mid-July to September. The low-water season normally occurs between September and November, with lowest water levels recorded in October. The river level usually rises from the end of November to the beginning of May (Ramalho et al., 2009). Due to the difference in level and duration of flooding, the MSDR has three main vegetation types within the *varzea* landscape (Ayres, 1993). High *varzea* represents the terrain with highest elevation that is flooded for approximately four months per year by a water column that can reach up to 2.5 m. Low *varzea* covers most of the reserve, and occurs in intermediate terrain elevation with a generally open understory that can be flooded for up to six months per year by a water column of up to 5 m. Chavascal covers a smaller proportion of the MSDR forests. It is a swampy environment with lower vegetation and a




Fig. 1. Map of the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve, study area, camera-trap stations installed from 2012 to 2017, and human settlements (communities) within the area of investigation.

dense understory, which can be flooded for up to eight months a year by a water column of seven meters or more (Ayres, 1993). Most of the variation in vegetation density is related to vegetation type and it is not possible to distinguish the effects of these two variables with the data available (Ayres, 1993; Ferreira-ferreira et al., 2015).

Camera trapping

The camera-trap sampling was originally designed to estimate the density of jaguars in the MSDR. The data were collected annually in the non-flooded period from September to January between 2012 and 2017 (Table 1). During the low-water season we set up camera-trap stations on a main standing grid with a total of 54 camera-trap stations that were repeated between 2013 and 2017, covering a total area of approximately 215 km² (Minimum Convex Polygon). In 2012, data were also collected at 40 camera-trap stations covering a total area of 76 km². From 2015 to 2017, we used a smaller grid inside the main grid, added to estimate the density of margays (area of approximately 6 km²). Each year, the smaller grid was located in a different portion of the main grid (Fig. 1; see Supplementary data for survey design details).

Predictor variables

To evaluate the influence of environmental variables on differences in habitat use between sexes, we recorded at each camera-trap station the main vegetation types following the classification of Ayres (1993). The three vegetation types were not

similarly represented since every station were chosen randomly (see Supplementary data for predictor variables details). We also estimated minimum Euclidean distances between the nearest permanent water body for each station (see Supplementary data for predictor variables details). For this we used the shapefile of the hydrology of MSDR, which represents perennial water surfaces such as rivers, channels and lakes that do not dry out even in the driest period (Ferreira-Ferreira et al., 2015). As an indicator of possible human disturbance, we used the minimum Euclidean distance between each station and the nearest human settlement. The surrounding area has low human density, with a total of 235 residents (Sistema de Monitoramento Demográfico e Econômico - SIMDE/IDSM, 2019). All spatial measures were made using version 3.4 of the QGIS program (QGIS Development Team, 2019), with 30-m resolution layers.

Individual identity and sex

Jaguars were identified from their individual natural spot patterns and sex was attributed based only on their primary sexual traits (presence or absence of testicles on the individual, see Figs. S1 and S2) in the photographs. To avoid pseudoreplication, only detections of the same individual at a given camera trap station at intervals greater than 24 h were considered independent. When different individuals were distinguished in the same photo, they were considered separate events. Detections which did not meet

Table 1
Summary of sampling effort per year for camera-trap monitoring in MSDR.

Year	Start date	End date	Number of stations	Effort (camera trap*day)	Sampled area (km ²)	Number of jaguars records
2012	19.09.2012	19.12.2012	40	1718	76,5	45
2013	17.09.2013	12.12.2013	54	2225	216,3	25
2014	23.09.2014	31.12.2014	54	2294	216,3	29
2015	29.10.2015	12.01.2016	95	3498	214,6	31
2016	07.10.2016	12.01.2017	88	4092	214,6	59
2017	17.09.2017	14.01.2018	83	4655	214,6	26

those criteria or those in which sex could not be determined were excluded from analysis (n = 24).

Analyses

We used hierarchical modeling to assess sex differences in the response of habitat use to environmental factors while accounting for individual- and site-level autocorrelation. We analyzed the data using generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMM).

Our dependent variable was the individual occurrence and our sampling unit was presence or absence of a given individual at a given site. We first concatenated all individual occurrences (presence or absence) per single site throughout the sampling interval. The GLMM was based on observations of 38 individuals in 205 sites, and we assumed a zero-inflated binomial distribution with logit link to account for the very large proportion of zeros (97.5%). We used three predictor variables: vegetation type (coded as a categorical variable: High *varzea*, Low *varzea*, Chavascal), minimum distance to nearest watercourse and minimum distance to nearest human settlement. Sex (male or female) was included as a trait predictor, along with its pairwise interactions with each predictor to test whether any environmental and anthropogenic response depended on sex. Sampling effort (number of working days per station) was also included as a covariate to control for variation in effort among sites.

The hierarchical data structure potentially created autocorrelation among observations from the same individual and/or site. Accordingly, individual and site identity were used as random factors to account for such non-independence, which is equivalent to a split-plot design (individual x site) with missing combinations.

First, we built a model including all predictors, in which “site” was represented by a random intercept, and “individual” was represented by random intercept and slope. This allowed for random variation in individual responses to environmental and anthropogenic factors, beyond any sex effect (Jamil et al., 2013). However, this model did not converge to stable parameter estimates; possibly due to “testing on the boundary”, (i.e., variance of random slopes was so small that they could not be discriminated from zero). Therefore, we only considered random intercepts. Next, we checked for significant ($P < 0.05$) interactions between environmental and anthropogenic factors and sex. If interactions were not significant, they were excluded and the model was refit to test for independent effects. All the continuous predictors were scaled to zero mean and unit variance to avoid convergence problems during parameter estimation. To visualize the response of occurrence probability to each tested interaction while controlling the remaining predictors, we used conditional plots (Breheny and Burchett, 2017).

We checked model residuals for spatial autocorrelation using Moran's I (Fig. S3) with the function 'spline.correlog' from the package 'ncf' (Bjornstad and Cai, 2020). This function calculates the confidence interval for autocorrelation at different distances along the distance gradient; if this interval includes zero there is no evidence of non-zero autocorrelation. Nearby values of variables were smaller than expected at random. Sensitivity analysis showed that the results were little affected by the sample size (see Supplementary data in analysis details). All models were fit using restricted

maximum likelihood, as implemented in R 4.2.1 (R Development Core Team, 2021) by the function 'glmmTMB' from the self-title package (Brooks et al., 2017) and package 'Car' (Fox et al., 2018).

Results

Predictor variables

The three vegetation types had the following coverage proportions during all years of study: Chavascal (14%), Low *varzea* (65%) and High *varzea* (21%). The minimum estimated distances (in meters) between the nearest permanent water body and each camera-trap station was 15 m and maximum was 1392 m (mean 332 m). The average distance between each station and the nearest human settlement was 8388 m (min. 925 m – max. 16574 m). This result is in accordance with the simple graph (Figs. S4 and S5, see in Supplementary data) showing that the frequency of encounters of jaguars was largely proportional to the frequency camera traps at given distance for both sexes.

Individual identity and sex

From the six consecutive years of camera-trap surveys in MSDR we obtained 153 independent records from identified jaguars, 100 records of males and 53 of females. We were also able to identify 38 jaguar individuals, 23 males and 15 females, giving an observed sex ratio of 1.5:1 (m: f).

Analyses

We did not detect differences in probability of occurrence between males and females with respect to any environmental or anthropogenic variables (Table 2, Fig. 2). Sampling effort was the only variable with a significant effect. As expected, the longer the sampling time, the greater the chance of detecting a jaguar by a camera trap.

Even when we removed interactions between sex and other variables and tested only for independent effects, nothing other than sampling effort had detectable influence on occurrence-record probability (Table 2, Fig. S6, see in Supplementary data). All models, with and without interaction terms, did not show significant spatial structure in the residuals (Fig. S3).

Discussion

Our results indicate that, in the *varzea* of central Amazonia, jaguar habitat use was unrelated to vegetation type, regardless of sex. Jaguars used High *varzea*, Low *varzea* and Chavascal in our study area with similar probability to their availability. Contrasting with our findings, preliminary studies in MSDR, indicated that jaguars were most frequently found in the lowest areas in the reserve (e.g., Alvarenga et al., 2018). However, those studies used a different analytical approach, a smaller temporal scale, and did not consider spatial or individual autocorrelation. Studies conducted in different locations have reported that females may select habitats with higher quality, that give them better access to shelter and prey

Table 2

Results of a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) of jaguar occurrence in Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve, testing for interactions between sex and environmental/anthropogenic variables (n = 7790). Jaguar occurrence-probability was modeled assuming a logit link function and zero-inflated binomial errors, including site (n = 205) and individual (n = 38) as random factors. χ^2 , chi-squared statistic; df, degrees of freedom of model term; P, probability under the null hypothesis that the true model term is zero. Bold numbers indicate significant model terms ($P < 0.05$).

Model type				
With interaction				
	<i>Predictor</i>	χ^2	df	<i>P</i>
	Sampling effort	15.371	1	0.00008
	Habitat type	1.075	2	0.584
	Distance to human settlement	1.183	1	0.276
	Distance to water	0.133	1	0.715
	Sex	0.170	1	0.680
	Habitat type: sex	2.004	2	0.367
	Distance to water: sex	0.048	1	0.826
	Distance to human settlement: sex	0.336	1	0.562
Without interaction				
	Sampling effort	21.142	1	0.00004
	Habitat type	1.285	2	0.525
	Distance to human settlement	1.293	1	0.255
	Distance to water	0.153	1	0.695
	Sex	0.185	1	0.667




Fig. 2. Conditional plots of jaguar occurrence probability in relation to (A) habitat \times sex interaction, (B) distance to watercourse \times sex interaction, and (C) distance to human settlement \times sex interaction. Each point (n = 7790) represents a record of an individual (n = 38) in a given site (n = 205). Grey areas indicate 95% confidence intervals. Plots show focal relationships after accounting for remaining predictors. ch: chavascal; va: high varzea; vb: low varzea.

(Gese et al., 2018), and a preference of females for primary forest, whereas male jaguars are more likely to use open areas, such as pasture and agricultural areas (Conde et al., 2010). However, recent studies involving a greater number of telemetered individuals from different biomes, including a few individuals from MSDR, did not find differences of occupancy probabilities between sexes in relation to environmental variables, though they found a positive correlation between occurrence and forest cover in general (Morato et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2021). Although those studies investigated a different scale, the results support our analysis, which found that male and female jaguars exhibit similar habitat-use patterns in the same area. MSDR vegetation types form a mosaic throughout landscape. Therefore, as jaguar home ranges are large enough to include all the vegetation types, there is no evidence of one vegetation type being used preferentially in low water season.

Although a significant portions of the jaguar’s diet may be comprised of aquatic and semiaquatic species in seasonally flooded ecosystems (Da Silveira et al., 2010), suggesting that there might be pressure to select areas close to water, we found no evidence of this association in either sex. In general, jaguar occurrence is usu-

ally higher near water bodies (Sunquist and Sunquist, 2014), but it is not clear whether this relationship varies between sexes. Some studies have concluded that males select locations closer to water sources than females (Gese et al., 2018), but others have reported that females occur closer to water (Foster et al., 2010). However, in places where large and permanent water sources are available throughout the year, distance to water may have no impact on jaguar occurrence (Ávila-Nájera et al., 2019). MSDR sustains high caiman densities (Da Silveira et al., 2008), one of the main prey species of jaguar in this ecosystem (Ramalho, 2006). This associated with the fact that MSDR is a varzea island limited by two of the widest rivers in Amazonia and interspersed by numerous water-courses, suggests that proximity to water is not a good predictor of jaguar habitat use in this environment.

We did not find evidence of the influence of human disturbance or sex on jaguar distributions in the dry season. Although human presence has been found to be an important factor that negatively affects the occurrence and activity of jaguars in some studies, it does not seem to influence their occurrence where the disturbed environment is surrounded by dense vegetation cover (Ávila-Nájera

et al., 2019), or inside protected areas with low human pressure (Mena et al., 2020), such as in our study site. Evidence of human activities is negatively correlated with the probability of jaguar occurrence most likely because it is correlated with density of hunters (Jędrzejewski et al., 2018), and prey and predator abundance decline with human access (Espinosa et al., 2018). Jaguars are also affected by roads (Cerqueira et al., 2021; see Road effects in supplementary data), but the Mamirauá area is essentially roadless. In a study conducted in MS DR, based on interviews with local people, the mortality rate for jaguars killed by hunters was almost twice as high for males than for females, which was attributed to differences in movement patterns between sexes (Ramalho, 2012), but this is close to the sex ratio detected by our camera traps (1.5:1). The lack of evidence of an effect of human disturbance on the distribution of jaguars in MS DR is probably because the density of people is low in all areas and hunting pressure on jaguar prey is relatively low. Also, the forest in the surroundings may favor jaguar habitat use (e.g., Cerqueira et al., 2021).

Jędrzejewski et al. (2017) suggested that jaguar populations appear to be less vulnerable to extirpation in humid and highly productive zones than in dry and unproductive areas. Jaguars apparently adjust their behavior according to the type of landscape conditions they are in, so that home-range size decreases with net primary productivity (Thompson et al., 2021). The MS DR soils (typical *varzea*) are nutrient rich, which results in high plant productivity (Wittmann et al., 2004) that can support high densities of prey (e.g., Da Silveira et al., 2008) and predators (Ramalho, 2012). Since the quantity of food and shelter are high, jaguars might not need to be selective of a particular environment. Furthermore, the home ranges of jaguars cover relatively large areas (Morato et al., 2016) that encompass all vegetation types (Ferreira-Ferreira et al., 2015). Therefore, food resources in the *varzea* are probably not limiting for jaguars. The use of a given habitat relative to its availability varies in response to environmental conditions, leading to very different habitat-selection processes in different environments. Thus, in productive environments, habitat selection processes may not respond to habitat heterogeneity (Gaudry et al., 2018). Nevertheless, it will not be possible to confirm that the lack of selectivity by jaguars is unique to *varzea* habitat until similar studies, taking into account individual- and site-specific autocorrelation, are undertaken in other environments.

Conclusions

Our data set allowed us to consider individual variability induced by home-range location, which reinforces the conclusion that jaguars are habitat generalists in the *varzea* of MS DR during the non-flooded season. However, our results do not support conclusions related to differences between sexes in habitat use, water availability or avoidance of human settlements. The difference between this and previous studies may be due to taking into account individual differences, or may be related to the unique environmental characteristics of the *varzea* of MS DR, a place with high productivity, periodic flooding associated with isolation by large rivers that limit the migration of even large mammals (Alvarenga et al., 2018), selecting populations morphologically and behaviorally adapted to these conditions in MS DR (Ramalho et al., 2021).

Amazonia plays a key role for long-term conservation of the jaguar and holds 50% of the species' current range, which is the reason that it has long been considered the jaguar's stronghold (Lorenzana et al., 2020). *Varzea*, despite being the Amazonian ecosystem with the highest human presence, is an important stronghold for jaguars and should be protected wherever possible. While most studies have shown that jaguars are positively associated with forest cover and water sources, and negatively

related to human presence (Morato et al., 2018), our results suggest that, in MS DR, jaguars are habitat generalists, exploiting all environments with similar probability during the low water season. Human disturbance apparently has little effect on space use by jaguars in the MS DR, indicating that low-density human settlements in sustainable-development reserves can coexist with wildlife, including top predators. However, the increase in the density of settlements may lead to more intense conflict with humans. Protected areas play a key role in maintaining diversity and serve as final refuges for endangered species, such as the jaguar, throughout their distributions (Mena et al., 2020).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the many professionals, who aided us in the field surveys: Fernando Ferreira de Pinho, Almir Carvalho de Araújo, Lázaro Pinto dos Santos. We thank Anderson Saldanha Bueno and Raquel Fernandes de Araujo for help with spatial analysis. We thank Maíra Manzan for help with the graphical abstract. We are indebted to Fernando Ferreira Pinho for insightful criticisms of previous drafts of the manuscript. We thank the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Institute, the Betty and Gordon Moore Foundation, and CNPq (Brazilian Government Research Council) for the financial support. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. WEM received a productivity grant from CNPq.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2023.06.004>.

References

- Alvarenga, G.C., Ramalho, E.E., Baccaro, F.B., da Rocha, D.G., Ferreira-Ferreira, J., Dineli Bobrowiec, P.E., 2018. Spatial patterns of medium and large size mammal assemblages in *varzea* and terra firme forests, Central Amazonia, Brazil. *PLoS One* 13, 1–19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198120>.
- Ávila-Nájera, D.M., Lazzano-Barrero, M.A., Chávez, C., Pérez-Elizalde, S., Tigar, B., Mendoza, G.D., 2019. Habitat use of Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) in a tropical forest in northern Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Rev. Mex. Biodivers.* 90, <http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2019.90.2186>.
- Ayres, J.M., 1993. *As matas de várzea do Mamirauá: médio rio Solimões*, 3rd ed. Sociedade Civil Mamirauá, Belém, PA, pp. 1–123.
- Bar-Ziv, E., Picardi, S., Kaplan, A., Avgar, T., Berger-Tal, O., 2022. Sex differences dictate the movement patterns of striped Hyenas, *Hyaena hyaena*, in a human-dominated landscape. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 10, 897132, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.897132>.
- Bjornstad, O.N., Cai, J., 2020. ncf: spatial covariance functions. The CRAN R Project. Retrieved 2022.
- Block, W.M., Brennan, L.A., 1993. The habitat concept in ornithology: theory and applications. *Curr. Ornith.* 11, 35–91.
- Breheny, P., Burchett, W., 2017. Visualization of regression models using visreg. *R. J.* 9 (2), 56–71.
- Brooks, M.E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K.J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C.W., Nielsen, A., Skaug, H.J., Maechler, M., Bolker, B.M., 2017. "glmm TMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling." *R. J.* 9 (2), 378–400.
- Cerqueira, R.C., de Rivera, O.R., Jaeger, J.A., Grilo, C., 2021. Direct and indirect effects of roads on space use by jaguars in Brazil. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 22617, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01936-6>.
- Conde, D.A., Colchero, F., Zarza, H., Christensen, N.L., Sexton, J.O., Manterola, C., Chávez, C., Rivera, A., Azuara, D., Ceballos, G., 2010. Sex matters: modeling

- male and female habitat differences for Jaguar conservation. *Biol. Conserv.* 143, 1980–1988, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.04.049>.
- Da Silveira, R., Magnusson, W.E., Thorbjarnarson, J.B., 2008. Factors affecting the number of caimans seen during spotlight surveys in the Mamirauá Reserve, Brazilian Amazonia. *Copeia* 2008 (2), 425–430, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1643/CE-06-035>.
- Da Silveira, R., Ramalho, E.E., Thorbjarnarson, J.B., Magnusson, W.E., 2010. Depredation by Jaguars on caimans and importance of reptiles in the diet of Jaguar. *J. Herpetol.* 44 (3), 418–424, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1670/08-340.1>.
- De La Torre, J.A., González-Maya, J.F., Zarza, H., Ceballos, G., Medellín, R.A., 2018. The Jaguar's spots are darker than they appear: assessing the global conservation status of the Jaguar *Panthera onca*. *Oryx* 52 (2), 300–315, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0030605316001046>.
- Espinosa, S., Celis, G., Branch, L.C., 2018. When roads appear Jaguars decline: increased access to an Amazonian wilderness area reduces potential for Jaguar conservation. *PLoS One* 13 (1), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189740>.
- Evans, M.J., Hawley, J.E., Rego, P.W., Rittenhouse, T.A., 2019. Hourly movement decisions indicate how a large carnivore inhabits developed landscapes. *Oecologia* 190 (1), 11–23, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4307-z>.
- Ferreira-Ferreira, J., Silva, T.S.F., Streher, A.S., Affonso, A.G., De Almeida Furtado, L.F., Forsberg, B.R., Valsecchi, J., Queiroz, H.L., De Moraes Novo, E.M.L., 2015. Combining ALOS/PALSAR derived vegetation structure and inundation patterns to characterize major vegetation types in the Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve, Central Amazon floodplain, Brazil. *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* 23, 41–59, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11273-014-9359-1>.
- Foster, R.J., Harmsen, B.J., Doncaster, C.P., 2010. Habitat use by sympatric Jaguars and pumas across a gradient of human disturbance in Belize. *Biotropica* 42 (6), 724–731, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2010.00641.x>.
- Fox, J., Weisberg, S., Price, B., Adler, D., Bates, D., Baud-Bovy, G., Bolker, B., Ellison, S., 2018. *Car: companion to applied regression*. The CRAN R Project, Retrieved 21 March, 2019.
- Gantchoff, M., Conlee, L., Belant, J., 2019. Conservation implications of sex-specific landscape suitability for a large generalist carnivore. *Divers. Distrib.* 25 (9), 1488–1496, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12954>.
- Gaudry, W., Gaillard, J.M., Saïd, S., Bonenfant, C., Mysterud, A., Morellet, N., Calenge, C., 2018. Same habitat composition but different use: evidence of context-dependent habitat selection in roe deer females. *Sci. Rep.* 8 (1), 1–13, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23111-0>.
- Gese, E.M., Terletzky, P.A., Cavalcanti, S.M.C., Neale, C.M., 2018. Influence of behavioral state, sex, and season on resource selection by Jaguars (*Panthera onca*): always on the prowl? *Ecosphere* 9 (7), e02341, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2341>.
- Hall, L.S., Krausman, P.R., Morrison, M.L., 1997. *The habitat concept and a plea for standard terminology*. *Wild life society bulletin* 1, 173–182.
- Jamil, T., Ozinga, W.A., Kleyer, M., terBraak, C.J., 2013. Selecting traits that explain species–environment relationships: a generalized linear mixed model approach. *J. Veg. Sci.* 24 (6), 988–1000, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2012.12036.x>.
- Jędrzejewski, W., Boede, E.O., Abarca, M., Sánchez-Mercado, A., Ferrer-Paris, J.R., Lampo, M., et al., 2017. Predicting carnivore distribution and extirpation rate based on human impacts and productivity factors; assessment of the state of Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) in Venezuela. *Biol. Conserv.* 206, 132–142, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.027>.
- Jędrzejewski, W., Robinson, H.S., Abarca, M., Zeller, K.A., Velasquez, G., Paemelaere, E.A., et al., 2018. Estimating large carnivore populations at global scale based on spatial predictions of density and distribution – Application to the Jaguar (*Panthera onca*). *PLoS One* 13 (3), e0194719, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194719>.
- Jonzén, N., 2008. Habitat selection: implications for monitoring, management, and conservation. *Isr. J. Ecol. Evol.* 54, 459–471, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1560/IJEE.54.3-4.459>.
- Junk, W.J., 1997. *Structure and function of the large central Amazonian river floodplains: synthesis and discussion*. In: *The Central Amazon Floodplain*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 455–472.
- Junk, W.J., Bayley, P.B., Sparks, R.E., 1989. *The flood Pulse concept in river floodplain systems*. *Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 106 (1), 110–127.
- Little, A.R., Conner, L.M., Chamberlain, M.J., Nibbelink, N.P., Warren, R.J., 2018. Adult bobcat (*Lynx rufus*) habitat selection in a longleaf pine savanna. *Ecol. Process.* 7 (1), 1–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13717-018-0129-5>.
- Lorenzana, G., Heidtmann, L., Haag, T., Ramalho, E., Dias, G., Hrbek, T., Farias, I., Eizirik, E., 2020. Large-scale assessment of genetic diversity and population connectivity of Amazonian Jaguars (*Panthera onca*) provides a baseline for their conservation and monitoring in fragmented landscapes. *Biol. Conserv.* 242, 108417, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108417>.
- Mena, J.L., Yagui, H., Tejada, V., Cabrera, J., Pacheco-Esquivel, J., Rivero, J., Pastor, P., 2020. Abundance of Jaguars and occupancy of medium-and large-sized vertebrates in a transboundary conservation landscape in the northwestern Amazon. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 23, e01079, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01079>.
- Morato, R.G., Stabach, J.A., Fleming, C.H., Calabrese, J.M., De Paula, R.C., Ferraz, K.M., Kantek, D.L., et al., 2016. Space use and movement of a neotropical top predator: the endangered Jaguar. *PLoS One* 11, 12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168176>.
- Morato, R.G., Connette, G.M., Stabach, J.A., De Paula, R.C., Ferraz, K.M.P.M.D., Kantek, D.L.Z., et al., 2018. Resource selection in an apex predator and variation in response to local landscape characteristics. *Biol. Conserv.* 228, 233–240, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.10.022>.
- Morrison, M.L., Marcot, B., Mannan, W., 2006. *Wildlife-habitat relationships: concepts and applications*. Island Press.
- QGIS Development Team, Available: <http://www.qgis.org/en/site/>, 2019.
- Queiroz, H.L., 2005. *A Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá*. *Revista de Estudos avançados IEA-USP. Dossiê Amazônia II* 19 (54), 183–203.
- R Development Core Team, Available: <http://www.R-project.org>, 2021.
- Ramalho, E.E., 2006. *Uso do habitat e dieta da onça pintada Panthera onca em uma área de várzea, reserva de desenvolvimento sustentável mamirauá, amazônia central Brasil*. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Tropical e Recursos Naturais, do convênio INPA/UFAM. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas do Amazonas (INPA), Manaus, pp. 50.
- Ramalho, E.E., 2012. *Jaguar (Panthera onca) population dynamics, feeding ecology, human induced mortality, and conservation in the várzea floodplain forests of Amazonia*. Thesis. University of Florida.
- Ramalho, E.E., Macedo, J., Vieira, T.M., Valsecchi, J., Calvimontes, J., Marmontel, M., Queiroz, H.L., et al., 2009. *Ciclo Hidrológico Nos Ambientes De Várzea Da Reserva De Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá – Médio Rio Solimões, Período De 1990 a 2008*. Uakari 5, 61–87.
- Ramalho, E.E., Main, M.B., Alvarenga, G.C., Oliveira-Santos, L.G.R., 2021. *Walking on water: the unexpected evolution of arboreal lifestyle in a large top predator in the Amazon flooded forests*. *Ecology* 102 (5), e03286.
- Rich, L.N., Davis, C.L., Farris, Z.J., Miller, D.A., Tucker, J.M., Hamel, S., et al., 2017. Assessing global patterns in mammalian carnivore occupancy and richness by integrating local camera trap surveys. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 26, 918–929, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12600>.
- Sollmann, R., Furtado, M.M., Gardner, B., Hofer, H., Jácomo, A.T.A., Törres, N.M., Silveira, L., 2011. Improving density estimates for elusive carnivores: accounting for sex-specific detection and movements using spatial capture-recapture models for Jaguars in central Brazil. *Biol. Conserv.* 144, 1017–1024, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.12.011>.
- Sunquist, F., Sunquist, M., 2014. *The Wild Cat Book: everything you ever wanted to know about cats*. University of Chicago Press.
- Svoboda, N.J., Belant, J.L., Beyer, D.E., Duquette, J.F., Lederle, P.E., 2019. Carnivore space use shifts in response to seasonal resource availability. *Ecosphere* 10 (7), e02817, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2817>.
- Thompson, J.J., Morato, R.G., Niebuhr, B.B., Alegre, V.B., Oshima, J.E.F., de Barros, A.E., Paviolo, A., de la Torre, J.A., et al., 2021. *Environmental and anthropogenic factors synergistically affect space use of Jaguars*. *Curr. Biol.* 31 (15), 3457–3466.
- Wittmann, F., Junk, W.J., Piedade, M.T., 2004. The várzea forests in Amazonia: flooding and the highly dynamic geomorphology interact with natural forest succession. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 196 (2–3), 199–212, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.02.060>.