Perspectives in ecology and conservation

Supported by Boticário Group Foundation for Nature Protection



www.perspectecolconserv.com

Essays and Perspectives

OCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE CIÊNCI. ECOLÓGICA E CONSERVAÇÃO

Ponds, puddles, floodplains and dams in the Upper Xingu Basin: could we be witnessing the 'lentification' of deforested Amazonia?



Luis Schiesari^{a,*}, Paulo R. Ilha^{b,c}, Daniel Din Betin Negri^b, Paulo Inácio Prado^{b,d}, Britta Grillitsch^e

^a Gestão Ambiental, Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, 03828-000, Brazil

^b Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia. Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, SP, 05508-090, Brazil

^c Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia, Canarana, MT, 78640-000, Brazil

^d LAGE at Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, 05508-090, Brazil

^e Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Veterinary Medicine of Vienna, Vienna, 1210, Austria

HIGHLIGHTS

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- Previous hydrological studies suggested that deforestation might increase lentic habitat in Amazonia.
- Deforestation indeed resulted in more ponds, puddles and reservoirs, and wider floodplains.
- Fish and amphibian assemblages changed consistent with lentic habitat availability.
- A literature review indicates the four proposed drivers of hydrological change are widespread.
- Lentification is a major, previously unaccounted form of habitat change in deforested Amazonia.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2019 Accepted 11 May 2020 Available online 23 June 2020



ABSTRACT

Hydrological change is a conspicuous signal of land use intensification in human-dominated landscapes. We hypothesized that land conversion and land use change increase the availability of lentic habitats and associated biodiversity in Southern Amazonian landscapes through at least four drivers. River damming promotes the formation of reservoirs, which are novel permanent lentic water bodies. A rise in the water table driven by local deforestation promotes the expansion of shallow riparian floodplains. Soil compaction and the deliberate construction of cattle and drainage ponds promote the increase in temporary water bodies in interfluves. We tested these hypotheses using data on habitat characterization and bio logical surveys of amphibians and fish in forests, pastures and soybean fields in the headwaters of the Xingu River in Mato Grosso, Brazil. Lentic habitat availability sharply increased in deforested land, with consequences to freshwater biodiversity. Reservoir formation influenced both fish and amphibian assemblage structure. Fish species ranged from strongly favored to strongly disfavored by reservoir conditions. Amphibian richness and abundance increased in pasture and soybean streams relative to forests in

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lschiesa@usp.br (L. Schiesari).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2020.05.001

^{2530-0644/© 2020} Associação Brasileira de Ciência Ecológica e Conservação. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Land use change Land cover change Freshwater Amazon Dams Deforestation

Introduction

Hydrological change is a conspicuous signal of land use intensification in human-dominated landscapes (Allan, 2004). The importance of water as a resource for human, livestock and crops, as a source of energy, and as pathway for transportation makes water management a quintessential element of human activities. In addition to the deliberate management of water bodies, the many interventions in the terrestrial environment that follow human occupation indirectly influence hydrology by altering the partitioning of rainfall among the processes of evapotranspiration, infiltration and runoff (Wohl et al., 2012).

Because dramatic changes in the watershed follow the conversion of native forests, important insights into the causes and consequences of anthropogenic hydrological change can be gained by analyzing agricultural frontiers. In agricultural frontiers, ongoing deforestation permits side-by-side comparisons of native and converted habitats, and strong biodiversity responses are expected from the occurrence of many sensitive, forest specialists (Schiesari et al., 2013).

The Amazon Basin is the largest area of the world currently undergoing frontier settlement and, within the Brazilian portion of the Amazon Basin, the state of Mato Grosso responds to one third of all deforested land (32.8%; INPE, 2019). Building on published hydrological studies (Scheffler et al., 2011; Macedo et al., 2013; Hayhoe et al., 2011, Neill et al., 2013), we characterize changes in freshwater habitat and biodiversity that are consistent with the hypothesis that deforestation is promoting the 'lentification' of Southern Amazonian landscapes in the Upper Xingu Basin. The term 'lentification' was coined to the lentic characteristics naturally taken on by small, intermittent floodplain channels during low waters (Drago et al., 2003) and later used to the transformation of the habitat character of rivers from lotic (i.e. flowing water) to lentic (i.e. standing water) through processes such as flow regulation, unsustainable water extraction and climate change (Sabater, 2008; Sabater et al., 2016). The term does not appear to have been embraced by the scientific community though (only three papers with 'lentification' were retrieved in a Web of Science search; September 11, 2019) and lacks an operational definition. We here define 'lentification' as an increase in the availability (i.e. density and/or area cover) of lentic freshwater habitats in the landscape, directly or indirectly caused by human activities. This definition is inclusive to any driver, and highlights that lentification is a property of the landscape and not only of the drainage network as it includes freshwater habitat change in interfluves (i.e., in areas of higher land between two streams or rivers in the same drainage system) as well. Because few processes lead to the formation of novel lotic habitats, an increase in the availability of lentic habitat almost invariably implies on an increase in the proportion of lentic habitats over lotic habitats in the landscape.

We hypothesize that at least four drivers of lentification could be operating in converted Upper Xingu landscapes: stream and river damming, increased water yields, soil compaction, and the deliberate construction of cattle and drainage ponds. Among these, river damming is the most readily recognized driver of lentifica-

proportion to the density of reservoirs in the landscape. Expansion of stream floodplains increased the abundance of *Melanorivulus megaroni*, a fish species indicator of shallow lentic habitats. Rainwater accumulation in temporary ponds and puddles, absent from well-drained forested interfluves, allowed the invasion of converted interfluves by twelve species of open-area amphibians. A literature review indicates that these four drivers of hydrological change are geographically widespread suggesting that we may be witnessing a major yet previously unaccounted form of habitat change in deforested Amazonia, affecting both biodiversity and human health.

tion as the Amazon Basin is the new 'hydroelectric frontier' in Brazil with 154 hydroelectric dams in operation, 21 under construction and 277 planned (Castello et al., 2013). Less attention is given to the myriad small dams found today in converted land. Yet, in the Upper Xingu Basin alone there are ~10,000 small dams (i.e., dams with a median impounded area ~0,01 km²), most of which built to provide water to cattle and generate electricity for local consumption (Macedo et al., 2013). Lentification is a clear product of river damming as reservoirs have attributes typical of lentic systems including slower currents, higher water residence times, greater depth and surface area, open canopy, higher surface temperatures, thermal stratification, and net accumulation of sediment and nutrients (Henry, 1999).

Deforestation could also promote lentification through increased water yields. Local deforestation has little effect on precipitation but strongly reduces per-unit-area evapotranspiration due to the lower height, shallower rooting depth, and lower leaf area index of grasses and crops relative to trees. Thus, proportionally more rainfall becomes river discharge (Wohl et al., 2012). In the Upper Xingu Basin, mean daily water yields increased four times in soybean watersheds relative to forest watersheds via an increased contribution of groundwater-driven baseflow to stream discharge (Hayhoe et al., 2011). Lentification could result if deforestation-driven increased water yields promote the expansion of semi-lentic riparian floodplains.

In interfluves away from streams and rivers, deforestation could also promote lentification via soil compaction. In the Upper Xingu Basin soil infiltrability was markedly reduced in the conversion of forests to pastures both in terms of infiltrability (from 1258 mm/h to 100 mm/h) and saturated hydraulic conductivity, probably due to cattle trampling. Shallow disking in the conversion of pastures to soybean fields increased infiltrability (469 mm/h) but further reduced subsoil saturated hydraulic conductivity (Scheffler et al., 2011). Lentification could result if deforestation-driven soil compaction increases the frequency in which precipitation exceeds infiltration, thereby forming ponds and puddles. An additional driver of lentification in interfluves is the deliberate construction of 'cacimbas', artificial ponds providing water to cattle and draining stormwater runoff from dirt roads.

Taken together, it is apparent that there are several drivers in place capable of creating novel lentic water bodies in converted Amazonian landscapes, and that such novel lentic water bodies span the full spectrum of the hydroperiod gradient – from ephemeral to semi-permanent to permanent water bodies – in such a way that could consistently favor quite distinct elements of lentic freshwater fauna (Wellborn et al., 1996).

In this article we test, for the first time, the hypotheses (i) that land conversion in the Upper Xingu Basin is associated with an increase in the availability of lentic freshwater habitats both along drainage networks (by creating reservoirs and expanding stream floodplains) and in interfluves (by creating ponds and puddles), and (ii) that this landscape-level lentification increases the abundance of freshwater fauna typically associated with lentic habitats. We tested these hypotheses by habitat characterization and biological surveys of fish and amphibians in forests, pastures and soybean fields in the headwaters of the Xingu River in Mato Grosso, Brazil. Fish and amphibians are excellent indicators of hydrological change (Hering et al., 2006) with high complementarity in habitat use (Wellborn et al., 1996): fish are usually restricted to permanent water bodies whereas most amphibians reproduce in temporary and semi-permanent lentic water bodies. Importantly, our study was conducted at the same site where the hydrological mechanisms we envision as promoting lentification were demonstrated. Hayhoe et al. (2011) demonstrated that deforestation increases water yields but not whether this phenomenon increases the area of shallow riparian floodplains. Scheffler et al. (2011) demonstrated that deforestation decreases soil infiltrability but not whether this phenomenon promotes the formation of ponds and puddles. Finally, Macedo et al. (2013) guantified the number of impoundments in the Upper Xingu Basin as a whole; we here confirm their findings at our specific study location before linking habitat with biodiversity change.

Methods

Study area

With 492 thousand square kilometers, the Xingu River Basin is the 5th largest basin draining into the Amazon River. It is a region of very high relevance in terms of social and biological diversity, where 20 Indigenous Lands and 10 Protected Areas are in contact with aggressive frontier expansion in the so called 'Amazonian Arc of Deforestation' (Velasquez et al., 2010; Brando et al., 2013). This study was conducted in the headwaters of the Xingu River in Canarana and Querência, Mato Grosso, Brazil (Online Appendix Fig. 1). Climate is Köppen's 'Aw', a tropical savanna climate with distinct wet (October-April) and dry (May-September) seasons. Mean annual rainfall is 1898 mm (1987-2007; Grupo AMaggi, pers.comm.). The landscape is dominated by wide interfluves where plateaus 27–62 m high gently slope towards stream channels. Water table is \sim 20–40 m deep. Soils are ustic oxisols (latossolos vermelho-amarelos distróficos) in plateaus grading into aquic inceptisols (gleysolos) in riparian zones (Hayhoe et al., 2011). Original vegetation cover is a closed-canopy, evergreen seasonal forest that is transitional between the ombrophilous rainforests in the north and the cerrados in the south of the Xingu Basin (Velasquez et al., 2010).

Fieldwork was conducted in and around Tanguro Ranch (Online Appendix Fig. 1), a 82,000 ha farm covered with primary closedcanopy forests (44,000 ha) and soybean plantations (38,000 ha). Neighboring Cristo Rei Ranch, Lírio Branco Ranch and the INCRA Settlement were predominantly covered by pastures.

Testing for a land use-driven lentification of the landscape

Density of impoundments in streams

To test the hypothesis that land conversion is associated with an increase in the density of impoundments, we defined one stream transect in each of three forests (along APPM, APP2 and APP2A streams in Tanguro Ranch), three pastures (INCRA Settlement, Cristo Rei Ranch, Lírio Branco Ranch) and three soybean fields (Alvorada, Mutum and Cascavel fields in Tanguro Ranch) (Online Appendix Fig.1). One pasture (INCRA Settlement) and one soybean field (Alvorada) received two additional stream transects each for a total of 13 stream transects. These 'focal' pasture and soybean fields were selected for detailed physical, chemical and biological freshwater sampling surveys (to be published elsewhere). We could not select a 'focal' forest with replicated transects due to the scarcity of forest trails (i.e., roads were far more common in converted land than trails in forests). Each transect was 2000 m long, and posi-

tioned at least 400 m away from other transects or from other land uses. Using these criteria, transect position was randomly defined among all available possibilities. In February 2012 we recorded the number of impoundments per stream transect.

Density of ponds and puddles in interfluves

To test the hypothesis that land conversion is associated with an increase in the density of ponds and puddles in interfluves, we as above defined one plateau transect in each of three forests (Seringal, AU and A1 in Tanguro Ranch), three pastures and three soybean fields (as above). The focal pasture and soybean field received two additional plateau transects each for a total of 13 plateau transects. In February 2012 we recorded the number and dimensions of each water body \geq 5 cm deep that could be sighted from the transects, while estimating the maximum distance to each side of the transect in which a water body would be visible if present. Although there is by definition a strong temporal bias on the detectability of ephemeral water bodies, December, January and February are at the peak of the rainy season with 51% of the entire annual rainfall (1987-2007; Grupo AMaggi, pers.comm.). Thus, any consistent difference in the density of ponds and puddles among land uses, if present, would manifest this time of year.

Area of shallow, semi-lentic riparian floodplains

To test the hypothesis that land conversion is associated with an expansion in the area of riparian floodplains, we characterized channel morphometry of three first-order streams in forest (APP 2, APP2A, APPM) and three in soybean fields (Tanguro A, B, and C). No streams were characterized in pastures (see below). Because the putative mechanism for floodplain expansion is increased baseflow, more clearly evidenced by dry season water yields (Hayhoe et al., 2011), channel morphometry was characterized in October 2013. Stream channel characterization was conducted in three 50m sections at 0, 1000 and 2000 m from the headwaters. For streams in converted land, we in addition characterized one 50-m section in one reservoir contained within the same distance. Each 50-m section was crossed by 6 transects used to measure channel width and water depths. We used the cut off depth of 10 cm for estimating area of shallow water because this is where our indicator species of lentic riparian waters is most frequent (see below). Note that sampling design for quantifying impoundments and ponds and puddles, and area of shallow riparian floodplains, differed due to constraints imposed by the corresponding biodiversity surveys (below): amphibian calling surveys used in the former require less effort and therefore permit greater replication than fish surveys used in the latter.

Testing for responses of the freshwater fauna to land use-driven lentification

Consequences of impoundment conditions to fish assemblages

We compared the ichthyofaunas of lotic and lentic (i.e. reservoir) sections in the three soybean streams described in 'Area of shallow riparian floodplains'. Dipnetting was the only methodology appropriate for fish sampling in the narrow, shallow streams rich in coarse woody debris; low water conductivity prevented electrofishing, and gill and seine netting were impossible. We employed a standardized sampling effort of 100 dipnetting person*minutes in each stream section, with a 30-cm diameter dipnet. Because dipnetting is only effective in water ≤ 1 m, fish assemblage characterization in impoundments was complemented with three hauls of a seining net (9 m long, 2 m high, 5-mm mesh) and an overnight set of six nylon gillnets (20 m long, 2 m high; and 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 cm distance between diagonally opposite knots).

Consequences of shallow riparian floodplains to fish populations

We assessed the relationship between area of shallow riparian floodplains and the abundance of lentic fishes by sampling *Melanorivulus megaroni* (Cyprinodontiformes, Rivulidae) in water <10 cm deep in all lotic and lentic stream sections described in 'Area of shallow riparian floodplains'. *M. megaroni*, a non-annual killifish, was selected as an indicator of semi-lentic riparian habitat for occurring almost exclusively in shallow margins of streams, swamps and lakes (Costa, 2011). Preliminary surveys indicated that in marshy floodplains and streamside ponds *M. megaroni* is the dominant fish species, occurring in flooded areas so shallow that one can barely see free-standing water amid the leaf-litter (see also ref. Espírito-Santo et al., 2013 for data on habitat use by rivulids in similar forest streams). All fish were euthanized with benzocaine, preserved in formalin 10%, and stored in ethanol 70%.

Consequences of land use to amphibian assemblages

We conducted calling surveys at six sampling stations (0, 400, 800, 1200, 1600 and 2000 m) along each of the 26 transects described above. Each transect was sampled between 19:30 h and $01:00 (\pm 30 \text{ min})$ on three dates randomly distributed over January and February 2012. Upon arrival at a sampling station we recorded, for a period of three minutes, calling amphibian species in five categories of abundance (0: no individuals; I: ≤5 individuals; II: >5 individuals; III: >10 individuals; IV: >50 individuals; Aichinger, 1987). For each sampling station we defined richness as the number of species recorded across all sampling dates, and the abundance of each species as the highest category of abundance recorded. We calculated a conservative index of aggregated (i.e. total) amphibian abundance in each sampling station as the summation of the number of species in a category of abundance multiplied by its lower abundance bound [(N_{spp} in category I * 1) + (N_{spp} in category II * 6) + . . . l.

Although we recognize that care should be taken when employing calling survey data for assigning amphibian abundances (Dorcas et al., 2009), we highlight that our index is not meant to be absolute but rather comparative across land uses.

Data analysis

All statistical analyses followed the rationale of testing a given effect by comparing nested linear models (Crawley, 2012). For instance, to test the hypothesis that the expected value of a given response variable differs among land uses (besides all other putative effects), we compared with a log-likelihood ratio test (LRT) two linear models that differed only by the inclusion of land use as a fixed effect. An increase in fit was gauged by the Deviance (D), which is twice the likelihood ratio between the two models, and which converges to a Chi-square distribution under the null hypothesis of no improvement in fit (McCullagh and Nelder, 1989). We used Poisson or Negative Binomial models for count data that had small values, and Gaussian models otherwise. In many cases we used mixed-effects models (Bolker et al., 2009) to take into account the dependence among sampling units (e.g. sampling stations within the same transect). Compliance of fitted models to their assumptions (e.g. constant variance and normality at the link scale, residuals with zero mean and without non-linear trends) where checked from the analysis of the appropriate residuals. All analyses were done in R 3.3.2 (R Core Team, 2016), with the additional packages lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) and DHARMa (Hartig, 2016). Expected values and associated standard errors for mixed-effect models were estimated by model-based parametric bootstrap with the function bootMer from the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2015).

We tested a lentification effect of land use by comparing LRT models that fit different expected values of ponds and pud-



Fig. 1. Land use-driven lentification of Southern Amazonian landscapes. (a) Density of dams along streamside transects (b) area of shallow water in riparian floodplains and (c) density of ponds and puddles along plateau transects. Note that in (b) no streams were sampled in pasture watersheds but that in (a) and (c) no reservoirs and puddles were found along streams and in plateaus, respectively, covered by primary forest.

dles/transect, numbers of dams/transect, or areas of shallow riparian floodplain/stream section, with null models that fit the same expected value in all transects or stream sections. We used Poisson glm in the first two cases and a mixed-effect Gaussian glm with stream as a random effect in the third case, to take into account the variance shared by sections within the same stream.

Restricting our analysis only to the dominant fish species in the assemblage (relative abundance >2% (Ilha et al., 2019), we used LRT to compare mixed-effect Gaussian glm fitted to the log of catch perunit-effort (CPUE) of each species in each stream section. The first model had only the additive fixed effects of fish species and habitat type (i.e. lotic versus lentic); the alternative model had an additional species-by-habitat interaction term. To test the fixed effects of area of shallow riparian floodplain and land use on *M. megaroni*



Fig. 2. Effect of habitat (stream vs reservoir) on the abundances of the six dominant fish species in streams of deforested (i.e. soybean) watersheds. Symbols and vertical bars represent, respectively, observed abundance means (ln CPUE+1) ± 1 standard errors of the estimated values by a linear mixed effect Gaussian model, accounting for all sources of variation (fixed and random effects).

abundance we used a Negative Binomial glmm because preliminary analyses indicated aggregated distribution of fish counts over sampling units. In both cases stream was a random effect.

We evaluated the effects of lentification on richness and aggregated abundance of anurans recorded at each sampling station. For streamside transects we used LRT to compare mixed-effects models with no fixed effect, the effect of land use, and the additive effects of land use and density of dams in a 800-m radius. For plateau transects we compared mixed-effects models with no fixed effect, the effect of land use, and the additive effects of land use and density of puddles in the transect. We used Poisson models for richness and negative binomial models for the abundance index, both with logarithmic link functions. All models included transect as a random effect to take into account the variance shared by stations within the same transect.

Results

Testing for a land use-driven lentification of the landscape: freshwater habitat change

Number of dams along stream transects increased from zero in forests to a median of 0.4 in pastures and soybean fields (D = 11.3, 2 df, p = 0.035; Fig. 1A). Area of shallow riparian floodplains roughly doubled in soybean watersheds (average \pm 1SD = 118 \pm 70 m², range 25–246 m²) relative to forested watersheds (58 \pm 53 m², range 0–142 m²; D = 4.3, 1 df, p = 0.037; Fig. 1B). Density of ponds and puddles increased from zero in forest plateaus to a median of 3/ha in pasture plateaus and 2/ha in soybean plateaus (D = 54.9, 1 df, p < 0.001; Fig. 1C; see Online Appendix Figs. 2 and 3 for pictures).

Consequences of reservoir formation to fish assemblages

Dominant species in the fish assemblage were *Melanorivulus megaroni* (Rivulidae; average relative abundance across all 12 stream sections 48.3%), *Pyrrhulina australis* (Lebiasinidae; 14.6%), *Aequidens michaeli* (Cichlidae; 11.8%), and the characids *Hyphesso-* brycon mutabilis (8.0%), Astyanax multidens (7.8%) and Moenkhausia phaeonota (2.9%).

These six species, which together comprised 93.8% of all fish individuals dipnetted in the three converted streams, responded strongly to reservoir conditions. CPUE was higher in reservoirs for *Aequidens michaeli, Pyrrhulina australis* and *Astyanax multidens*, but lower for the three remaining species (Fig. 2). A significant habitatby-species interaction term (D = 20.5, 5 df, p = 0.001) confirmed that some fish species were favored while others disfavored by stream impoundment. In fact, *Hyphessobrycon mutabilis* and *Moenkhausia phaeonota* were entirely absent from reservoirs, as were another ten less common species (<0.5% in relative abundance). Nine fish species were found exclusively in reservoirs (Online Appendix Table 1).

Consequences of floodplain expansion to the abundance of Melanorivulus megaroni

M. megaroni abundance was positively related to the area of shallow riparian floodplains (D = 17.2, 1 df, p < 0.001; Fig. 3).

Consequences of land use to amphibian assemblages along streams

Transects in deforested watersheds had more than twice as many calling species (20 species) than transects in forested watersheds (9 species). Note, however, that rarefaction curves asymptoted in pastures and to a lesser degree in soybean fields, but not in forests (Online Appendix Fig. 4). Because more singletons and doubletons were found in forest transects, Chao2 estimators of species richness were 28.8 ± 16.3 for forests (mean ± 1 SD) but 20.3 ± 0.9 for pastures and 21.6 ± 2.1 for soybean fields.

No species was heard exclusively along streams in forest watersheds although the only sightings of *Phyllomedusa vaillanti* (Hylidae) and *Leptodactylus pentadactylus* (Leptodactylidae) occurred in those streams. By contrast, 20 species were heard exclu-



Fig. 3. Abundance of *Melanorivulus megaroni* as a function of the area of shallow water in each 50-m stream section (green solid circles: streams in forested watersheds, red open triangles: streams and reservoirs in deforested watersheds). The line is that predicted by a negative binomial generalized model with random effects due to streams. The grey area shows ± 1 standard errors of the estimated values by the model, accounting for all sources of variation (fixed and random effects).

sively along streams in deforested watersheds (Online Appendix Table 2).

Amphibian species richness per sampling station increased in converted land relative to forests (D = 12.4, 2 df, p = 0.002), and with number of dams within an 800-m radius (D = 7.3, 1 df, p = 0.007). Predicted values confirm a much higher species richness in pastures and soybean fields than in forests (Fig. 4A). Amphibian aggregate abundances per sampling station also increased in converted land relative to forests (D = 14.0, 2 df, p = 0.001), and to number of dams (D = 4.4, 1 df, p = 0.043). We used the model with both predictors to estimate the expected values, which again confirmed higher abundances in pastures and soybean fields relative to forests (Fig. 4B).

Consequences of land use to amphibian assemblages in plateaus

Land use had an even stronger effect on amphibian assemblages in plateaus. Transects in forest plateaus were entirely devoid of calling amphibians. By strong contrast, pastures and soybean fields had respectively 12 and 6 calling species (Online Appendix Table 2, Fig. 5). Rarefaction curves asymptoted in pastures but not in soybean fields; Chao2 estimators of species richness therefore coincided with measured richness in pastures (12.0 ± 0 species) but not in soybean fields (8.9 ± 4.3 species).

Because forest plateaus were devoid of calling amphibians, we could not fit models to predict amphibian richness or abundances for all three land use types considered jointly. Considering converted plateaus only, both amphibian richness (D=15.3, 1 df, p < 0.001; Fig. 4C) and aggregated abundance per sampling station (D=11.9, 1 df, p < 0.001; Fig. 4D) was higher in pastures than in soybean fields. In both cases predicted values were higher in pastures than in soybean fields. No improvement of fit was obtained by including pond and puddle density.

Discussion

This study provides evidence, for the first time, that deforestation promotes an increase in the availability of lentic freshwater habitats in Southern Amazonian landscapes. Streams in converted watersheds had numerous impoundments, and riparian floodplains twice as wide than streams in preserved watersheds. At the same time, converted interfluves had numerous ponds and puddles, in a striking contrast to the complete absence of water bodies in sampled forested interfluves. Importantly, lentification of streams and interfluves was accompanied by strong responses by fish and amphibian assemblages.

Reservoir conditions favored half of the six dominant fish species, and disfavored the other half. The characid *Astyanax mul-tidens* and the cichlid *Aequidens michaeli* were 8 and 2.3-fold more abundant in reservoirs than in lotic reaches; several other less common cichlids were also predominantly or exclusively found in reservoirs, as were the trahira *Hoplias malabaricus* and the curimatid *Steindachnerina* sp. At the other extreme, not a single individual of characids *Hyphessobrycon mutabilis* and *Moenkhau-sia phaeonota*, otherwise common in stream reaches, was found in reservoirs. These patterns are consistent with large-scale studies showing that cichlids, characids and other characiforms, but also erithrynids, serrasalmids, loricariids and curimatids that inhabit more lentic habitats such as backwaters, shallow river margins and lakes, are sometimes favored by river damming (Agostinho et al., 2007).

Expanded stream floodplains, in turn, had a strong positive effect on the abundance of *Melanorivulus megaroni*, a fish species associated with shallow waters (>90% of individuals were sampled in water <10 cm deep) and streamside pools. Other fishes could be influenced by floodplain expansion as well given that many small-sized species, or larvae and juveniles of larger species, rely on these habitats as nurseries and shelters against predation and water dragging (Schiemer et al., 2003).

Conversion of forests to pastures and soybean fields had a surprising positive effect on streamside amphibian abundance and richness. Both response variables were associated with number of dams around calling sampling stations. Thus, landscape lentification via reservoir formation is a plausible contributor to this phenomenon, although other hydrological and non-hydrological changes associated with deforestation, including the expansion of stream floodplains (not quantified along surveyed streamside transects) and canopy opening (Schiesari, 2006), could also be involved.

Even more striking was the influence of land conversion in interfluves on amphibian richness and abundance. No single amphibian species was heard in forested plateaus, as opposed to 12 in pastures and six in soybean fields. Because calling involves not only benefits (mate attraction and selection) but also costs (energetic costs, increased risk of predation and parasitism), males usually call in, at or close to their breeding sites. Therefore, the lack of water bodies is a sufficient explanation for the absence of calling amphibians in forested plateaus, as 35 of 38 amphibian species in the region depend on water for egg laying and/or premetamorphic development (Bitar et al., 2012; incidentally, Pristimantis aff. fenestratus and Leptodactylus cf. andreae, species that do not depend on water bodies for reproduction, were heard calling from forested plateaus in occasions other than our calling sampling surveys). We suspect the lack of a statistical effect of density of ponds and puddles on amphibian richness and abundance results primarily from the need to exclude forested plateaus from the analysis, and secondarily from a mismatch in the scale of habitat assessment (ponds that could be seen from transect, i.e., tens of meters) and that of faunal assessment (frogs that could be heard from transect, i.e., hundreds of meters).

Contrary to streams, where amphibian richness and abundance were similar in pastures and soybean fields, in interfluves pastures had twice as many amphibian species and severalfold greater abundance than soybean fields. At least two factors could be involved. First, several of the water bodies in pastures were cattle ponds, which, contrary to roadside puddles, are constructed to effectively hold water – i.e., they are deeper and compacted with tractors. As a consequence, part of the water bodies in pastures held water for



Fig. 4. Amphibian richness (upper row) and aggregate abundance (lower row) per sampling station as a function of the density of dams along streams (left column) and puddles in plateaus (right column) in forests (solid green circles), pastures (open blue squares) and soybean fields (open red triangles). Curves represent trends (± 1 standard errors of estimated values) predicted by the best supported model (generalized linear models with random effects of the transects). Note that in plateaus only land use had a significant effect on amphibian abundance and richness, which is depicted by the horizontal lines of predicted values and standard errors. In primary forest plateau transects no amphibian species were recorded, and the model was fitted only to data gathered in soybean and pastures. Points are jittered along x and y axes to improve data visualization.

one month longer than in soybean fields (personal observation), a difference in hydroperiod of considerable biological significance considering that a primary cause of mortality in temporary ponds is desiccation (Wellborn et al., 1996). Second, environmental conditions in plateaus - effectively contrasting primary forests, pastures and soybean fields - are much harsher than along streams, protected by law by a 25-m wide vegetated buffer zone. Specifically, the intensive management of soybean plantations inflicts strong, periodical disturbance to the fauna that inhabits, visits or traverses interfluves. Conversion of pastures to soybean fields is made by clearing with fire, tilling and liming, whereas plantation management annually involves sowing, applying fertilizers (~300 kg NPK/ha) and pesticides (13.7 kg/ha including 39 active ingredients) and harvesting (Schiesari and Grillitsch, 2011; Schiesari et al., 2013). Considering that puddles in soybean fields are subject to direct pesticide overspraying and runoff, it is even surprising that there are amphibian species colonizing ponds in plantations although, we do not know whether these are viable habitats for metamorph production or simply amphibian population sinks (as is the case for aquatic insects; see Negri 2015).

In summary, the creation of new reproductive habitat is a plausible hypothesis for the increased amphibian abundance in converted land. The increase in species richness is, by contrast, at first sight surprising. Differences in observed gamma diversity are likely artifacts of abundance; Chao estimators predict 29 species in forests, less than the 38 recorded (Bitar et al., 2012) but more than the 22 predicted in converted land. Differences in alpha diversity, in turn, do not appear to be artifacts and could be due to the particular biogeographical scenario of our study site and/or the spread of generalistic open area species with deforestation (see also Bitar et al., 2015). Our study site is at the edge of Amazonian closedcanopy forests; the savanna line is as close as \sim 20 km south and it is likely that in the Pleistocene this area has alternated opencanopy/closed-canopy phytophysiognomies. The observation that several species found in closed-canopy forests are more typical of open areas (Leptodactylus labirinthicus, L. mystaceus, Hypsiboas albopunctatus, Rhinella schneideri) is a testimony for this mixed amphibian assemblage. On top of that, converted streamside transects have the addition of at least seven species exclusive of open areas (Leptodactylus fuscus, Physalaemus cuvieri, P. centralis, P. nattereri, Pseudopaludicola mystacalis, Scinax fuscovarius and S. fuscomarginatus). Converted watersheds could therefore be housing an enriched amphibian fauna through the mixture of closed-canopy species of Amazonian origin (e.g., Osteocephalus taurinus, Phyllomedusa vaillanti, Pristimantis fenestratus), open-area cerrado species capable of colonizing closed-canopy forests, and generalistic openarea cerrado species that accompany the line of deforestation and are favored by landscape lentification.

Although our research is local, it is tempting to speculate that the lentification of freshwater systems and their biota could be occur-

ring in many locations of deforested Amazonia. This is because all proposed drivers of lentification are quite general, according to a literature review of the available evidence linking land conversion and the density of dams, soil compaction and stream discharges in the Amazon Basin (and its sub-basins Xingu, Tapajós, Madeira, Solimões, Negro and Amazonas) and surrounding basins (Tocantins, Marajó and the NW Coastal South Atlantic to the Southeast; Orinoco and Coastal North Atlantic to the North) (Box 1 and Table 1 and references therein).

Box 1. How widespread are drivers of 'lentification' in deforested Amazonia?

We reviewed the available evidence linking land conversion and the density of dams, soil compaction and stream discharges in the Amazon Basin (and its sub-basins Xingu, Tapajós, Madeira, Solimões, Negro and Amazonas) and surrounding basins (Tocantins, Marajó and the NW Coastal South Atlantic to the Southeast; Orinoco and Coastal North Atlantic to the North) (Table 1). A total of 179 hydroelectric dams are currently operating or under construction, and another 275 planned, in four basins (Tocantins, Amazon, Orinoco, Coastal North Atlantic), including all six Amazon sub-basins (Castello et al., 2013; Macedo and Castello, 2015). Dramatically increased densities of small impoundments in deforested land were reported in the Xingu and the Amazonas sub-basins (Macedo et al., 2013; Pocewicz and Garcia, 2016).

Soil compaction, as indicated by increased bulk soil density or soil penetration resistance, and/or by decreased soil porosity, infiltrability or hydraulic conductivity, were consistently observed in all 19 studies we could find conducted in four basins (Coastal South Atlantic, Tocantins, Amazon, Orinoco), including five of six Amazon sub-basins (Xingu, Tapaiós, Madeira, Solimões and Negro) (Woodward, 1996; Alegre and Cassel, 1996; Moraes et al., 1996; Martínez and Zinck, 2004; Keller et al., 2005; Moraes et al., 2006; Zimmermann et al., 2006; Araújo, 2008; Germer et al., 2009, 2010; Sousa, 2010; Comte et al., 2012; Guedes et al., 2012; Braz et al., 2013; Cruz et al., 2014; Hunke et al., 2015; van Straaten et al., 2015; Melo et al., 2017; Nóbrega et al., 2017). Importantly, soil compaction was observed in every type of converted land use including secondary and logged forests, deforested land, pastures, and in soybean, maize, oil palm, coffee, and teak plantations (Table 1). Among them, it is precisely in pastures - by far the prevailing land use in converted Amazonia - where soil compaction is most intense. Relative to forests, infiltrability in pastures decreased from 8 to 162 times depending on factors such as soil type, pasture age, and cattle stocking densities. Even though soil compaction only results in ponding if precipitation exceeds infiltration rates, increased overland flows in pastures are commonly reported. In eastern Amazonia rainfall rarely if ever exceeds infiltration capacities in forests; in pastures, by contrast, around $\frac{3}{4}$ of storm-averaged rainfall intensities exceed infiltration capacities (Moraes et al., 2006).

Finally, because deforestation strongly reduces evapotranspiration, a larger fraction of rainfall is exported from the watershed as streamflow. This pattern, commonly observed in small catchment studies in both temperate and tropical countries (Neill et al., 2006), was recorded in two basins (Coastal South Atlantic and Amazon), and three of the Amazon subbasins (Xingu, Madeira and Amazonas) (Moraes et al., 2006; Neill et al., 2006; Trancoso, 2006; Chaves et al., 2008; Germer et al., 2009; Hayhoe et al., 2011). Conversion of forests to pastures and soybean fields increased water yields, discharge and baseflow, and discharge-to-precipitation ratios (Table 1). Interestingly, one study that failed to observe a linkage between land conversion and discharge nevertheless observed stream structural changes consistent with lentification. Deegan et al. (2011) found that in the conversion of forests to pastures the pool-and-run structure was replaced by a narrow run of open water bordered by wide marshy areas invaded by riparian grasses (mean wetted width was $5\times$ greater in pastures than forests, N = 18 streams). Ground-truthed remote sensing permitted the authors to infer that the infilling of stream channels by riparian grasses, which slows down water velocities and promotes the transient storage of water, occurs in almost all of the small pasture streams in the Ji-Paraná Basin, a 74,000 square kilometer sub-watershed of the Madeira (Deegan et al. 2011).

Concluding remarks

In this study we demonstrate that extensive hydrological change occurs very early in the process of frontier settlement. The deliberate construction of reservoirs, cattle and drainage ponds adds to the unintentional multiplication of puddles and expansion of floodplains to modify existing habitat, or even create new habitat, for freshwater organisms. The phenomenon of freshwater lentification we here propose, if indeed shown to be widespread in deforested Amazonia, could have significant consequences to biodiversity and human health; the dramatic upsurge in malaria and schistosomiasis following deforestation, for example, is partly a consequence of increased availability of lentic freshwater habitats in the landscape (Vittor et al., 2009; Myers, 2009).

Some words of caution are justified. First, lentification frequently manifests as the expansion or multiplication of novel, modified or even degraded freshwater systems subject to several other sources of anthropogenic stress including warming, siltation, eutrophication and pesticide contamination. That is, lentification does not necessarily correspond to more high-quality lentic water bodies in the landscape. Second, significant geographic variation in the potential for lentification exists, particularly in interfluves, because contingent on a combination of factors influencing precipitation and infiltration including climate, soil physical properties, topography, clearance method and land cover (Scheffler et al., 2011). Finally, observed increases in amphibian abundances and richness could be a product of transient dynamics and not a stable endpoint given the recent deforestation in the area (<40 years), the even more recent decrease of matrix quality via conversion of pastures to soybean (<10 years), and the presence of relatively large fragments of primary forest in the region and riparian buffer zones (see Online Appendix Fig. 1). A similar argument could be made for the increased density of rivulids: once headwater populations go extinct, recolonization from downstream sources should be hindered by the multiplication of small dams. In summary, then, the strong patterns we here present and the environmental relevance they convey urge for large scale, long term investigations.

It is reasonable to expect that other drivers of lentification not considered in this study could be currently operating or become important in the future. For example, reservoir management may promote the rise of the water table (Rains et al., 2004), potentially increasing the area of river floodplains. By contrast, unsustainable water extraction, large scale deforestation (Nobre et al., 1991) or climate change could all contribute to lowering water tables, reducing floodplain widths and causing flow intermittency and increased stream temporality. Climate change and anomalous climatic events, in particular, could strongly interact with other phenomena described in this article to magnify the intensity of freshwater lentification. Such interactions could be particularly strong in the Amazonian Arc of Deforestation, which is not only a region of widespread land conversion – and therefore widespread

Table 1

Land-use driven changes in landscape hydrological properties - how widespread are drivers of lentification in deforested Amazonia?

			Basins ^a											
						Amazon Basin								
Response variable	Unit	Direction of change consistent with lentification	Coastal South Atlantic	Tocantins	Marajó	Xingu	Tapajós	Madeira	Solimõe	s Negro	Amazonas	Orinoco	Coastal North Atlanltic	Land use pattern and reference
HYDROELECTRIC DAMS Dams in operation	N	1		56		6	33	43	11	1	4	2	2	Castello et al., 2013; Macedo and Castello,
Dams in construction	Ν	↑		2		1	6	8	4	0	0	?	0	Castello et al., 2013; Macedo and Castello,
Dams planned	Ν	↑		101		2	73	43	47	1	8	?	0	Castello et al., 2013; Macedo and Castello,
Overall supporting evidence SMALL DAMS	N/1			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Density of small dams	N/na	Î				ſ					Ŷ			et al., 2013, Mato Grosso); FOR < DEFOR (Pocewicz and Garcia, 2016, Pará)
Overall supporting evidence SOIL COMPACTION ^d						\checkmark					\checkmark			(Foccinic2 and Garcia, 2010, Fala)
Bulk soil density	g/cm3 or equivalent	ţ	Î	Ţ			Î	ţ	Î	↑		Î		FOR < PAS (no stats, Moraes et al., 2006, Pará); SFOR < PAS (Comte et al., 2012, Pará); SFOR < PAS, GRAIN (Guedes et al., 2012, Pará); FOR < PAS (no stats; Braz et al., 2013, Pará); CER < PAS (Nóbrega et al., 2017, Mato Grosso); FOR < LOG (Keller et al., 2005, Pará); FOR < PAS, FOR > PAS (no stats; one chronosequence showed decreasing and the other increasing trend; Moraes et al., 1996, Rondônia); FOR < SFOR,PAS,COFFEE (Melo et al., 2017, Rondônia); FOR < DEFOR, (Woodward 1995, Ecuador); SFOR < DEFOR, (Woodward 1995, Scuador); SFOR < DEFOR,ACROP,ALLEY,PAS (Alegre and Cassel, 1996); FOR < PAS (no stats; Araujo 2008, Acre); FOR < PAS (no stats; Araujo 2008, Acre); FOR < PAS (Sroaten et al. 2015); FOR < PAS,MAIZE,MCGRAIN; FOR = TPAS (Sousa, 2010, Roraima); FOR < PAS; CER = PAS (Cruz et al., 2014, Roraima); FOR < PAS (Martínez and Zinck, 2004, Colombia)
Soil total porosity	m3/m3 or equivalent	Ţ	Ţ	ţ				Ţ	Ţ	\downarrow \leftrightarrow		ţ		FOR > PAS (no stats, Moraes et al., 2006, Pará); SFOR > PAS, GRAIN (Guedes et al., 2012, Pará); FOR > PAS (no stats; Braz et al., 2013, Pará); CER > PAS (Nóbrega et al., 2017, Mato Grosso); FOR > SFOR, COFFEE, PAS (Melo et al., 2017, Rondônia); FOR > DEFOR (Woodward 1995, Ecuador); FOR > PAS (no stats; Araujo 2008, Acre); FOR > PAS, MAIZE; FOR = TPAS, MCGRAIN (Sousa, 2010, Roraima); FOR > PAS; CER = PAS (Cruz et al., 2014, Roraima); FOR > PAS (Martínez and Zinck. 2004. Colombia)

			Basins ^a											
	Unit	Direction of change consistent with lentification				Amazon Basin								
Response variable			Coastal Tocanti South Atlantic	Tocantins	Marajó	Xingu	Tapajós	Madeiı	a Solimõ	ões Negro	Amazonas	Orinoco Co N At	Coastal North Atlanltic	Land use pattern and reference
Soil penetration resistance	MPa	↑							¢	$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\leftrightarrow}$		¢		SFOR < PAS (Alegre and Cassel, 1996, Peru); FOR < PAS, TPAS, MAIZE, FOR = MCGRAIN (Sousa, 2010, Roraima); FOR = PAS; CER = PAS (Cruz et al., 2014, Roraima); FOR < PAS (no cruz); Martinga, and Zingk, 2004, Celombia)
Infiltrability	mm/h	ţ				Ţ		↔	Ţ			Ţ		FOR > PAS,SOY (Scheffler et al. 2012, Mato Grosso); CER > PAS,SOY,CANE (no stats; Hunke et al., 2015, Mato Grosso); SFOR > PAS (Alegre and Cassel, 1996, Peru); FOR > SFOR,TEAK, PAS; FOR = DESFOR (Zimmermann et al., 2006, Rondônia); FOR > PAS (Germer et al., 2010, Rondônia); FOR > PAS (no stats; Martínez and Zinck, 2004, Colombia)
Hydraulic conductivity (Ksat)	mm/h	ţ	Ţ			Ļ		\downarrow \leftrightarrow	ţ					FOR> SFOR,PAS (no stats; Moraes et al., 2006, Pará); FOR> PAS,SOY (Scheffler et al. 2012, Mato Grosso); CER> PAS,SOY,CANE (no stats; Hunke et al., 2015, Mato Grosso); FOR> TEAK, PAS; FOR= SFOR,DESFOR (Zimmermann et al., 2006, Rondônia); FOR> PAS (Germer et al., 2010, Rondônia); FOR> PAS (no stats; Araujo 2008, Acre); SFOR> ACROP,ALLEY (Alegre and Cassel, 1996, Peru)
Overall supporting evidence	ES IN MATER		√ °uments	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		
Discharge or water yield	mm/hr or equivalent		↑ ↑			¢		$ \stackrel{\uparrow}{\leftrightarrow} $			¢			FOR < PAS (no stats; Moraes et al., 2006, Pará), FOR < SOY (Hayhoe et al., 2011, Mato Grosso), FOR < PAS (Neill et al., 2006, Rondônia); FOR < PAS (no stats; Chaves et al., 2008, Rondônia), FOR < PAS (no stats; Germer et al., 2010, Rondônia), FOR = PAS (Deegan et al. 2011, Rondônia), FOR < PAS (no stats; Trancoso, 2006, Amazonas)
Baseflow	mm/hr or equivalent	↑				1		¢			1			FOR < SOY (Hayhoe et al., 2011, Mato Grosso), FOR < PAS (no stats; Chaves et al., 2008, Rondônia); FOR < PAS (no stats; Germer et al., 2009, Rondônia); FOR < PAS (no stats; Trancoso, 2006, Amazonas)
Runoff coefficient (discharge:precipitation)	%	↑	¢			¢		¢			1			FOR < PAS (no stats; Moraes et al., 2006, Pará), FOR < SOY (Hayhoe et al., 2011, Mato Grosso), FOR < PAS (no stats; Chaves et al., 2008, Rondônia), FOR < PAS (no stats; Trancoso, 2006, Amazonac)
Overall supporting			\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark			nuncoso, 2000, nund2011a3)

^a Basins ('Ottobasins Level 1') and sub-basins ('Ottobasins Level 2') are according to Agência Nacional das Águas (ANA 2014) and presented 'clockwise' from eastern Amazonia. ANA's Tocantins Basin includes the Araguaia. 'Coastal South Atlantic' actually refers to the northernmost sub-basin (ANA's Level 2 Ottobasin 71, Coastal Occidental Northeast) of Ottobasin Level 1 Coastal South Atlantic.

^b Statistical results are as reported in the original literature, and always in comparison with the reference condition (i.e., differences among alternative land uses are not reported).

^c FOR = forest, CER = cerrado, SFOR = secondary forest, LOG = logged, DEFOR = deforested, DESFOR = recently manually cleared secondary forest, PAS = pasture, TPAS = tilled pasture, TEAK = teak, CANE = sugarcane, COFFEE = coffee, MAIZE = maize, SOY = soybean, PALM = oil palm, ACROP = annual crop, ALLEY = alley crop, GRAIN = multiple grains, MCGRAIN = grains in minimum cultivation system. In all cases country and/or Brazilian states are also informed. ^d Response variables testing for soil compaction report the most superficial soil layers sampled. When there are time series (eg, pasture after 5, 10, 15 years), we report significant results for the time period with strongest

trend. Note that soil compaction leads to ponding only when precipitation exceeds infiltration rates.

70

river damming, change in stream discharges, soil compaction and creation of farm ponds - but also predicted to be highly vulnerable to savannization (Nobre et al., 1991).

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We thank Grupo Amaggi (Fazenda Tanguro) and neighboring farmers (Assentamento do INCRA, Fazenda Cristo Rei, Fazenda Lírio Branco) for granting access to their lands, and the Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia - IPAM (especially O. Carvalho Junior, W. Rocha, P. Brando and M. Macedo), Grupo Amaggi (especially W. De Ré) and M. Rosa (Secretaria da Agricultura e Meio Ambiente de Canarana) for logistical support. G. Cordeiro Junior, G. Cordeiro, V. Dimitrov, I. Calado, D. Nunes, S. Rocha e R. Quintino provided invaluable help in fieldwork, and I. Calado and A. C. Machado in labwork. P. Lefebvre kindly produced the map and M. Leite helped in the statistical analysis. ICMBio provided collection permits (ICM-Bio 17559). We thank FAPESP (2008/57939-9, 2010/52321-7 to L.S.), CNPg (563075/2010-4 to L.S.) and the International Finance Corporation - Biodiversity and Agricultural Commodities Program for funding this research (to L.S.and B.G.), FAPESP (2011/20458-6 to P.I., 2010/19427-6 to D.D.B.N.) and CNPg for fellowships (Research Grant to P.I.P.), and the University of Veterinary Medicine of Vienna for a travel grant (B.G.).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pecon.2020.05.001.

References

- Agostinho, A.A., Gomes, L.C., Pelicice, F.M., 2007. Ecologia E Manejo De Recursos Pesqueiros Em Reservatórios Do Brasil. Eduem. Maringá. PR.
- Aichinger, M., 1987. Annual activity patterns of anurans in a seasonal neotropical environment. Oecologia 71, 583–592.
- Alegre, J.C., Cassel, D.K., 1996. Dynamics of soil physical properties under alternative systems to slash-and-burn. Agric, Ecosyst. Environ. 58, 39–48.
- Araújo, E.A., 2008. Qualidade Do Solo Em Ecossistemas De Mata Nativa E Pastagens Na Região Leste Do Acre, Amazônia Ocidental. PhD Thesis. Universidade Federal de Vicosa, MG.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 67, 1–48.
- Bitar, Y.O.C., Pinheiro, L.P.C., Abe, O.S., Santos-Costa, M.C., 2012. Species composition and reproductive modes of anurans from a transitional Amazonian forest, Brazil. Zoologia 29, 19–26.
- Bitar, Y.O.C., Juen, L., Pinheiro, L.C., Santos-Costa, M.C., 2015. Anuran beta diversity in a mosaic anthropogenic landscape in transitional Amazon. J. Herpetol. 49, 75–82.
- Bolker, B.M., Brooks, M.E., Clark, C.J.S., Geange, W., Poulsen, J.R., Stevens, M.H.H., White, J.S.S., 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24, 127–135.
- Brando, P.M., Coe, M.T., DeFries, R., Azevedo, A.A., 2013. Ecology, economy and management of an agricultural frontier landscape in the Southeast Amazon. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. 368, 20120152.
- Braz, A.M.S., Fernandes, A.R., Alleoni, L.R.F., 2013. Soil attributes after the conversion from forest to pasture in amazon. Land Degrad. Dev. 24, 33–38.
- Castello, L., McGrath, D.G., Hess, L.L., Coe, M.T., Lefebvre, P.A., Petry, P., Macedo, M.N., Renó, V.F., Arantes, C.C., 2013. The vulnerability of Amazon freshwater ecosystems. Conserv. Lett. 6, 217–229.
- Chaves, J., Neill, C., Germer, S.N., Gouveia, S., Krusche, A., Elsenbeer, H., 2008. Land management impacts on runoff sources in small Amazon watersheds. Hydrol. Process. 22, 1766–1775.

Comte, I., Davidson, R., Lucotte, M., Carvalho, C.J.R., Oliveira, F.A.B., Silva, P., Rousseau, G.X., 2012. Physicochemical properties of soils in the Brazilian Amazon following fire-free land preparation and slash-and burn practices. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 156, 108–115.

- Costa, W.J.E.M., 2011. Phylogenetic position and taxonomic status of Anablepsoides, Atlantirivulus, Cynodonichthys, Laimosemion and Melanorivulus (Cyprinodontiformes: rivulidae). Ichthyol. Explor. Freshw. 22, 233–249.
- Crawley, M.J., 2012. The R Book. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK. Cruz, D.L.S., Júnior, J.F.V., Cruz, P.L.S., Cruz, A.B.S., Nascimento, P.P.R.R., 2014. Atributos físico-hídricos de um argissolo amarelo sob floresta e savana
- naturais convertidas para pastagem em Roraima. Rev. Bras. Ciãªncia Do Solo 38, 307–314. Deegan, L.A., Neill, C., Haupert, C.L., Ballester, M.V.R., Krusche, A.V., Victoria, R.L.,
- Thomas, S.M., de Moor, E., 2011. Amazon deforestation alters small stream structure, nitrogen biogeochemistry and connectivity to larger rivers. Biogeochemistry 105, 53–74, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10533-010-9540-4.
- Dorcas, M.E., Price, S.J., Walls, S.C., Barichivich, W.J., 2009. Auditory monitoring of anuran populations. In: Dodd Jr, K. (Ed.), Amphibian Ecology and Conservation. A Handbook of Techniques. Oxford University Press.
- Drago, E.C., de Drago, I.E., Oliveros, O.B., Paira, A.R., 2003. Aquatic habitats, fish and invertebrate assemblages of the middle Paraná river. Amazoniana 17, 291–341.
- Espírito-Santo, H.M.V., Rodriguez, M.A., Zuanon, J., 2013. Reproductive strategies of Amazonian stream fishes and their fine-scale use of habitat are ordered along a hydrological gradient. Freshw. Biol. 58, 2494–2504.
- Germer, S., Neill, C., Vetter, T., Chaves, J., Krusche, A.V., Elsenbeer, H., 2009. Implications of long-term land-use change for the hydrology and solute budgetsof small catchments in Amazonia. J. Hydrol. 364, 349–363.
- Germer, S., Neill, C., Krusche, A.V., Elsenbeer, H., 2010. Influence of land-use change on near-surface hydrological processes: undisturbed forest to pasture. J. Hydrol. 380, 473–480.
- Guedes, E.M.S., Fernandes, A.R., de Lima, H.V., Serra, A.P., Costa, J.R., Guedes, R.S., 2012. Impacts of different management systems on the physical quality of an amazonian oxisol. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 36, 1269–1277.
- Hartig, F., R package version 0.1.6., CRAN/GitHub. Available from 2016. DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level/Mixed) Regression Models. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DHARMa.
- Hayhoe, S.J., Neill, C., Porder, S., McHorney, R., Lefebvre, P., Coe, M.T., Elsenbeer, H., Krusche, A.V., 2011. Conversion to soy on the Amazonian agricultural frontier increases stream flow without affecting storm flow dynamics. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17, 1821–1833.
- Henry, R., 1999. Ecologia De Reservatórios: Estrutura, Função E Aspectos Sociais. FAPESP/FUNDIBIO, São Paulo, SP.
- Hering, D., Johnson, R.K., Buffagni, A., 2006. Linking organism groups major results and conclusions from the STAR project. Hydrobiologia 566, 109–113.
- Hunke, P., Mueller, E.N., Schröder, B., Zeilhofer, P., 2015. The Brazilian Cerrado: assessment of water and soil degradation in catchments under intensive agricultural use. Ecohydrology 8, 1154–1180.
- Ilha, P., Rosso, S., Schiesari, L., 2019. Effects of deforestation on headwater stream fish assemblage in the Upper Xingu River Basin, Southeastern Amazonia. Neotrop. Ichthyol. 17, e180099.
- INPE (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais), 2019. Terra Brasilis (accessed 28 November 2019)
 - http://terrabrasilis.dpi.inpe.br/app/dashboard/deforestation/biomes/legal_ amazon/rates.
- Keller, M., Varner, R., Dias, J.D., Silva, H., Crill, P., de Oliveira Jr, R.C., Asner, G.P., 2005. Soil–atmosphere exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, methane, and carbon dioxide in logged and undisturbed forest in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil. Earth Interact. 9, 23.
- Macedo, M.N., Castello, L., 2015. State of the Amazon: Freshwater connectivity and ecosystem health. In: Oliveira, D., Maretti, C.C., Charity, S. (Eds.), WWF Living Amazon Initiative. WWF, Brasilia, DF.
- Macedo, M.N., Coe, M.T., DeFries, R., Uriarte, M., Brando, P.M., Neill, C., Walker, W.S., 2013. Land-use-driven stream warming in southeastern Amazonia. Philos. Trans. Biol. Sci. 368, 20120153.
- Martínez, L.J., Zinck, J.A., 2004. Temporal variation of soil compaction and deterioration of soil quality in pasture areas of Colombian Amazonia. Soil Tillage Res. 75, 3–17.

McCullagh, P., Nelder, J.A., 1989. Generalized linear models. In: Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability 37. Chapman & Hall/CRC, New York, NY.

- Melo, V.F., Orrutéa, A.G., Motta, A.C.V., Testoni, S.A., 2017. Land use and changes in soil morphology and physical-chemical properties in Southern Amazon. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 41, e0170034.
- Moraes, J.F.L., Volkoff, B., Cerri, C.C., Bemoux, M., 1996. Soil properties under Amazon forest and changes due to pasture installation in Rondônia, Brazil. Geodema 70, 63–81.
- Moraes, J.M., Schuler, A.E., Dunne, T., Figueiredo, R.O., Victoria, R.L., 2006. Water storage and runoff processes in plinthic soils under forest and pasture in eastern Amazonia. Hydrol. Process. 20, 2509–2526.
- Myers, S.S., 2009. Global environmental change: the threat to human health. Worldwatch Report, Washington, DC.
- Negri, D.D.B., 2015. Desempenho de larvas de anfíbios e libélulas em uma paisagem agroindustrial. In: Masters Thesis. Universidade de São Paulo, SP.
- Neill, C., Deegan, L.A., Thomas, S.M., Haupert, C.L., Krusche, A.V., Ballester, V.M., Victoria, R.L., 2006. Deforestation alters the hydraulic and biogeochemical characteristics of small lowland Amazonian streams. Hydrol. Process. 20, 2563–2580.
- Neill, C., Coe, M.T., Riskin, S.H., Krusche, A.V., Elsenbeer, H., Macedo, M.N., McHorney, R., Lefebvre, P., Davidson, E.A., Scheffler, R., Figueira, A.M.S., Porder, S., Deegan, L.A., 2013. Watershed responses to Amazon soya bean cropland expansion and intensification. Philos. Trans. Biol. Sci. 368, 20120425.

Nobre, C.A., Sellers, P.J., Shukla, J., 1991. Amazonian deforestation and regional climate change. J. Clim. 4, 957–988.

Nóbrega, R.L.B., Guzha, A.C., Torres, G.N., Kovacs, K., Lamparter, G., Amorim, R.S.S., Couto, E., Gerold, G., 2017. Effects of conversion of native cerrado vegetation to pasture on soil hydro-physical properties, evapotranspiration and streamflow on the Amazonian agricultural frontier. PLoS One 12, e0179414.

 Pocewicz, A., Garcia, E., 2016. Deforestation facilitates widespread stream habitat and flow alteration in the Brazilian Amazon. Biol. Conserv. 203, 252–259.
R Core Team, 2016. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Rains, M.C., Mount, J.F., Larsen, E.W., 2004. Simulated changes in shallow

groundwater and vegetation distributions under different reservoir operations scenarios. Ecol. Appl. 14, 192–207. Sabater, S., 2008. Alterations of the global water cycle and their effects on river

structure, function and services. Freshw. Rev. 1, 75–88. Sabater, S., Timoner, X., Borrego, C., Acuña, V., 2016. Stream biofilm responses to

flow intermittency: from cells to ecosystems. Front. Environ. Sci. 4, 1–14. Scheffler, R., Neill, C., Krusche, A.V., Elsenbeer, H., 2011. Soil hydraulic response to

Scheffler, K., Nelli, C., Krusche, A.V., Eisenbeer, H., 2011. Soil hydraulic response to land-use change associated with the recent soybean expansion at the Amazon agricultural frontier. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 144, 281–289.

Schiemer, F., Keckeis, H., Kamler, E., 2003. The early life history stages of riverine fish: ecophysiological and environmental bottlenecks. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 133, 439–449.

Schiesari, L., 2006. Pond canopy cover: a resource gradient for anuran larvae. Freshw. Biol. 51, 412–423.

Schiesari, L., Grillitsch, B., 2011. Pesticides meet megadiversity in the expansion of biofuel crops. Front. Ecol. Environ. 4, 215–221.

Schiesari, L., Waichman, A., Brock, T., Adams, C., Grillitsch, B., 2013. Pesticide use and biodiversity conservation in the Amazonian agricultural frontier. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 368, 20120378. Sousa, M.I.L., 2010. Qualidade Físico-hídrica De Um Argissolo Vermelho-amarelo Sob Agroecossistema E Floresta Natural Em Roraima. master's Thesis. Universidade Federal de Roraima, RR.

Trancoso, R., 2006. Mudanças Na Cobertura Da Terra E Alterações Na Resposta Hidrológica De Bacias Hidrográficas Na Amazônia. Master's Thesis. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa da Amazônia, AM.

van Straaten, O., Corre, M.D., Wolf, K., Tchienkoua, M., Cuellar, E., Matthews, R.B., Veldkamp, E., 2015. Conversion of lowland tropical forests to tree cash crop plantations loses up to one-half of stored soil organic carbon. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, 9956–9960.

Velasquez, C., Alves, H.Q., Bernasconi, P., 2010. Fique Por Dentro: a Bacia Do Rio Xingu Em Mato Grosso. Instituto Socioambiental, São Paulo, SP.

Vittor, A.Y., Pan, W., Gilman, R.H., Tielsch, J., Glass, G., Shields, T., Sánchez-Lozano, W., Pinedo, V.V., Salas-Cobos, E., Flores, S., Patz, J.A., 2009. Linking deforestation to malaria in the Amazon: characterization of the breeding habitat of the principal malaria vector, Anopheles darlingi. Am. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 81, 5–12.

Wellborn, G.A., Skelly, D.K., Werner, E.E., 1996. Mechanisms creating community structure across a freshwater habitat gradient. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 27, 337–363.

Wohl, E., Barros, A., Brunsell, N., Chapell, N.A., Coe, M., Giambelluca, T., Goldsmith, S., Harmon, R., Hendricks, J.M.H., Juvik, J., McDonnell, J., Ogden, F., 2012. The hydrology of the humid tropics. Nat. Clim. Chang. 2, 655–662.

Woodward, C.L., 1996. Soil compaction and topsoil removal effects on soil properties and seedling growth in Amazonian Ecuador. For. Ecol. Manage. 82, 197–209.

Zimmermann, B., Elsenbeer, H., de Moraes, J.M., 2006. The influence of land-use changes on soil hydraulic properties: implications for runoff generation. For. Ecol. Manage. 222, 29–38.